

Short course high-dose steroid therapy and avascular necrosis of bone (AVN)

Introduction

Up to 90% of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) patients in Hong Kong had received steroid during hospitalization. Among survivors ≥ 18 years of age, an average cumulative dose equivalent to 16.1 ± 13.7 gm of hydrocortisone was given over a period of 20.2 ± 10.9 days. Avascular necrosis (AVN) of bone is a known hazard of pharmacological use of steroid but we have little data to inform us of its prevalence following a short course of high dose steroid. Reports of AVN, some of which affected unusual or multiple locations began to appear in patients after 3 to 4 months of recovery from SARS.

Although the EBM approach is not a good method for studying adverse event, 2 systematic reviews were performed in September & October to help decide the value of mass MRI screening in this group of patient. Because a screening program is only beneficial if treatment available given at an earlier stage could improve the likelihood of favorable outcomes compared to treatment given when the disease would make its presence known in the usual course of events, we started by examining evidence on core decompression, the most widely practiced procedure for AVN of femoral head. The result was published in the HA HTA Report Series "HTA 03/11 - Core Decompression for Avascular Necrosis of the Femoral Head" accessible in the HA intra- & inter-net. We then searched the literature for reported prevalence of AVN following short courses of high dose steroid particularly in doses comparable to our SARS cohort. We report the latter findings in this issue of EVIDENCE.

We searched for English citations in the MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL and COCHRANE Library for the period 1970 to October 2003 using MeSH headings "Osteonecrosis", "Avascular Necrosis", "Femoral Head Necrosis", "Adrenal Cortex Hormones/ae, de, to [Adverse Effects, Drug Effects, Toxicity]", "Glucocorticoids/ ad, ae, to [Administration & Dosage, Adverse Effects, Toxicity]" and key words "short term", "short course", supplemented by manual review of bibliographies in retrieved articles.

Quantitative Review

Level 2 evidence: 1 quantitative review (poor quality) and 2 cohort studies with control (poor quality) on AVN following short or long-term steroid exposure.

	r		
Citation	Study design	Observation	
1	Study design: Systemic review. MEDLINE search (1970-	Reported AVN ranged from 0-31%.	
	1985) supplemented by selected bibliographies in	Multiple regression analyses	
	retrieved articles. Included 15 case-control studies, 5	showed that cumulative oral steroid	
	observational studies, 2 RCTs.	dose was a powerful predictor of	
	Statistical method: Data was pooled and association was	AVN (p<0.01).	
	calculated by regression analysis.	Polus storoid given less than once	
	Limitations:	por month was not associated with	
	 Quality of Primary 	AVN rick	
	 Search limited to English & French citations 		
	 Did not address study quality assessment, number of 	Plots of cumulated AVN incidences	
	reviewers involved, or suitability in combining data for	vs. steroid dose (pool data) shows	
	meta-analysis.	correlation ($r = 0.61-0.80$) between	
	 11 of 15 case-control studies found no significant 	daily total dose & AVN rate: a 4-	
	difference in steroid dose between cases and controls	6% absolute risk increase per 40	
		mg HCE/day rise in oral steroid.	
2	Study period: 1978-1988	Average steroid dose per mode of	
	Subjects: Patient with asthma or inflammatory arthritis	administration (analyzed cohort)	
	Design: Prospective case-control study.	Steroid Average dose (gm HCE)	
	Continuous, intermittent or burst steroid (n=151) vs.	Asthma Arthritis	
	Control $(n = 49)$	Continuous 8.0 7.7	
	Outcome measures: Harris hip score, XR finding	Intermittent 0.2 0.032	
	FU: 10 years (1420 hip-years)	Burst 0.5 0.09	
	l imitations:		
	 Small sample size and high dropout rate. 	Outcome: no change in Harris Hip	
	Control Steroid (asthma) Steroid (arthritis) Total	Score and no AVN detected in both	
	Recruited 49 73 78 200	groups.	
	Analyzed 20 32 39 91		
	Patients received intermittent & burst steroid had		
	much lower doses. Their numbers were not provided.		
3	Study period: 1989-1996	No AVN in either groups	
	Subject: Patient with acute spinal cord injury		
	Design: Prospective study. Steroid coverage 141mg/kg		
	HCE over 24 hours ($n = 59$) vs. Historical control without		
	steroid $(n=32)$		
	Outcome measure: MRI of humeral & femoral heads		
	FU: 6-12 months		
	l imitations:		
	♦ Small sample size		
	 Small sample size. Historical control 		
	 Instantal control. Inadequate information on comparability 		
	 Madequate information on comparability. Short follow up 		

FU= Follow up; HCE= Hydrocortisone equivalent; MRI = Magnetic Resonance Imaging

- 1. Felson DT, Anderson JJ. Across-study evaluation of association between steroid dose and bolus steroids and avascular necrosis of bone. Lancet 1987 Apr 18:1(8538): 902-5.
- 2. Colwell CW Jr., Robinson CA, Stevenson DD, Vint VC, Morris BA. Osteonecrosis of the femoral head in patients with inflammatory arthritis or asthma receiving corticosteroid therapy. Orthopedics 1996 Nov;19(11): 941-6.
- 3. Wing PC, Nance P, Connel DG, Gagnon F. Risk of avascular necrosis following short term megadose methylprednisolone treatment. Spinal Cord 1998;36(9): 633-36.

Level 3 evidence: 8 prospective or retrospective observational studies on AVN following short or medium-term steroid exposure. Poor quality.

Citation	Study design	Observation
1	Study period: 1985-1989	No natient in the hin
-	Subject: Patient underwent orthognathic surgery usual steroid	replacement group had
	doso 7 3a HCE aiyon ovor 30 hours	provious orthognathic
	Study design: Detrespective review by matching records for a	surgery
	Study design. Remospective review by matching records for a concurrent 54 months period; orthographic surgery $(n - 1276)$ 8.	suigery.
	total his replacement $(n = 1407)$	
	local hip replacement (II= 1497)	
	Lillillation:	
	 Matching patient records could not ensure adequate FU or all orthographic methods. 	
	ortnognatnic patients	
	• Hip replacement is surrogate indicator or late AVN. It does not	
	Identify early AVN remoral nead or AVN at other locations.	
5	Study period: 1977-1979	Outcome: 11 died, 11
	Subject: 66 patients underwent neurosurgery for intracranial	lost, 13 had joint pain, 1
	aneurysm & received mean cumulative dose 6.6gm HCE within 17	had bilateral AVN
	days	required total hip
	Study design: Retrospective case series	replacement, 30 reported
	Outcome measure: Patient to report joint pain & history of AVN.	asymptomatic.
	FU: Mean 50.3 months.	
	Limitation:	
	 No formal medical / radiological assessment. 	
	 High dropout rate. 	
6	Study period: 1963-1971; 1971-1976	AVN reported in 5% of
	Subject: Renal allograft transplant recipients	recipients transplanted
	Study design: Retrospective case series.	during 1963-1971 &
	 1963-1971: 242 recipients received oral maintenance steroid 	4.8% of recipients
	(1-1.3mg HCE/kg) for 3-8 months	transplanted during
	 1971-1976: 146 recipients received IV pulse (1 gm 	1971-1976.
	methylprednisolone on day of surgery & for acute graft	
	rejection) + oral maintenance steroid for 9-12 months	
	FU: 9 to 12 months	
	Limitation:	
	 Not prospective study. 	
	 No standard FU plan to ensure detection of all AVN. 	
	Short FU	
7	Study period: 1966-1981	29 patients developed
	Subject: 546 renal transplant recipients, graft survival > 12 mths	AVN in 39 hips between
	Study design: Retrospective case series + case-control study	6-134 months (mean 16)
	(n=29 each)	after transplant.
	Outcome measure: joint pain, limitation in movement (XR if	No significant difference
	symptomatic.) & XR hip for all patients in 1982/1983	in cumulative steroid
	FU: 12-134 months	doses between AVN
	l imitation:	patients & controls (age.
	 Cohort received a wide range of steroid dose (30-60 gm HCF) 	sex graft survival
	 Wide range of FU duration 	matched)
8	Steroid period: 1966-1981	5 natients developed
0	Subject: 50 BMT recipients with survival > 2 yrs, received steroid	AVN within 2 years of
	for GVHD	BMT Onset ranging from
	Study design: Patrospective case series	2/19-731 days
	Outcome measure	Lowest steroid dose
	Elli >2 yoars	involved in AVN patients
	ru. zz yeals	involved in Aviv patients
	No data on storoid administration pattern 9 cumulative dass	was borny/ky.
	Proceived by the cohort	
	A Hasmic malignancy, anlactic anomia 9 conditioning by total	
	body irradiation are confounding variables	
	bouy inaulation are confounding variables.	
1	Y INU STANDALU FU PIAN TU ENSULE DELECTION OF ALL AVIN.	1

9	Study period: Not reported Subject: 28 children with nephrotic syndrome or nephritis received cumulative steroid dose of 8-256 gm HCE Study design: Retrospective case series Outcome measure: XR screening (hips, knees, upper & lower thirds of the femoral shaft & upper third of tibial shaft)	No AVN detected
	Limitations:	
	 Small sample size. 	
	 Marked variation in steroid dose & FU period. 	
10	 Study period: 1984-1988 Subject: 212 SLE patients, average dose & duration = 170 mg HCE/day for 95 days (ave. cumulative dose 16.2 gm HCE) Study design: Prospective case series Outcome measure: XR & bone scan FU: 5 years Limitations: High dropout rate (only 62 patients completed study). SLE is a confounding variable. 	9 of 62 patients completed study had developed AVN.
11	 Study period: Started 1978-1979 Subject: 42 renal transplant recipients (32 were adults), average steroid dose at one year = 56 mg HCE/day. Study design: Prospective case series Outcome measure: Whole body bone scan every 6 months FU: 2-3 years Limitation: Small sample size. Brief information on steroid dose 	7 patients (16.7%) had AVN

- 4. Precious D, Armstrong J, Morrison A, Field C. The incidence of Total Hip Replacement in Orthognathic Surgery Patients Receiving Short-Term Steroid Therapy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992 Sep; 50(9):956-957.
- 5. Sambrook PN, Hassall JE, York JR. Osteonecrosis after high dosage, short-term corticosteroid therapy. J Rheumatol 1984 Aug; 11(4): 514-6.
- 6. Susan LP, Braun WE, Banowsky LH, Straffon RA, Bergfeld JA. Avascular necrosis following renal transplantation. Urology 1978 Mar;11(3):225-9.
- 7. Haajanen J, Saarinen O, Laasonen L, Kuhlback B, Edgren J, Slatis P. Steroid treatment and aseptic necrosis of the femoral head in renal transplant recipients. Transplant Proc 1984 Oct;16(5):1316-9.
- 8. Atkinson K, Cohen M, Biggs J. Avascular necrosis of the femoral head secondary to corticosteroid therapy for graft-versus-hot disease after marrow transplantation: effective therapy with hip arthroplasty. Bone Marrow Transplantation 1987 Dec; 2(4):421-6.
- 9. Gregg PJ, Barsoum MK, Soppitt D, Jackson RH. Avascular necrosis of bone in children receiving high-dose steroid treatment. Br Med J 1980 Jul:281(6233):116.
- 10. Ono K, Tohjima T, Komazawa T. Risk factors of avascular necrosis of the femoral head in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus under high-dose corticosteroid therapy. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1992 Apr;277:89-97.
- 11. Spencer JD, Maisey M. A prospective scintigraphic study of avascular necrosis of bone in renal transplant patients. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1985 Apr;194:125-35.



Professional Services & Medical Development Division

Addendum to 📲 EVIDENCE 🎫 Issue 19, 2003

AVN Screening in Post-SARS Follow-up

There is little good evidence on the prevalence of AVN following a short course of high dose steroid. 11 studies included in this review fall within level 2⁻ and 3 categories of the SIGN classification (http://www.sign.ac.uk/) and practically all have considerable methodological flaws that limit their applicability. These studies reported a wide ranging prevalence of AVN (0 to 31%) following use of short and medium-term high dose steroid.

It is important to note that the EBM approach is not a good method for studying adverse event (AE), as (i) most studies are designed to address efficacy more than AE; (ii) sample size and duration of follow up are often inadequate to identify uncommon AE; (iii) reports of AE often cannot prove causal relationship as AE may be affected by the underlying disease and other circumstantial factors; and (iv) publication bias favors over and under reporting of AE. Interpretation should be made in light of these general limitations and also of the specific inadequacy identified in individual studies, which we tried to summarize in the evidence tables. Furthermore, differences in study design, subject characteristics, nature of underlying disease, wide range of steroid dosage and duration of treatment, outcome measures and length of follow-up, etc. will all limit the generalizability of their findings to our SARS cohort.

After much discussion in the HA SARS Collaborative Group (HASCOG), it was agreed to coordinate mass MRI screening for AVN in SARS survivors at around 6-9 months postdischarge. This would help to clarify the risk of AVN following SARS and following high dose steroid given over a discrete period of time, as well as in monitoring AVN progress in this group of patients to enable better planning of treatment strategy.

Additional information and comments relative to this issue are welcome, and should be addressed either to **賞 EVIDENCE** 韻

available from < http://ekg> or Dr SP Lim at splim@ha.org.hk. Reprint of this publication for research or further study is granted without prior permission from the Hospital Authority.